UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,122	08/06/2003	Duck-Chul Hwang	50602/DBP/Y35	2076	
23363 CHRISTIE, PA	7590 03/02/2007 ARKER & HALE, LLP		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068			WEINER, LAURA S		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1745		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/635,122	HWANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laura S. Weiner	1745			
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app eriod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
St	atus					
	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Di	sposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,8-12,14-17,22-27 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 5-6, 8-12, 14-17, 22-27, 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Αŗ	oplication Papers					
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the other controls. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
) (Achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/635,122

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 14-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The rejection of claims 1-11, 14-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2003/0232240) has been withdrawn because a certified translation of Republic of Korea 2002-0046580, filed 8-7-02 has been filed which predates the Lee et al. reference. The rejection of claims 1-6, 8-11, 14-16; 17-20, 22-26, 28, 30-33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taniuchi et al. (5,925,283) is withdrawn because of the amendment to the claims.
- 2. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 2-4, 7, 13, 18-21, 28-29. Claims 1, 5-6, 8-12, 14-17, 22-27, 30-34 have been examined on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 5-6, 8-12, 14-17, 22-27, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mori et al. (WO 02/45099) [US 7,033,706 will be used as the translation].

Mori et al. teaches in columns 3-4, a resin composition for polymer solid electrolytes comprising a curable resin (A), a plasticizer (B) and an electrolyte (C) wherein the curable resin (A) is preferably a curable monomer (A-1) or a curable

Application/Control Number: 10/635,122

777 OCT (1 OF TAINING): 107000, 12

Art Unit: 1745

polymer (A-2) or (A-3). The curable resin (A) has reactive functional groups such as (meth)acrylate that can be used. When the curable monomer (A-1) is used as the curable resin than it preferably has four or more reactive groups in one molecule. The curable monomer (A-1) has reactive functional group such as dipentaerythritol pentaacrylate or dipentaerythritol hexaacrylate. The curable monomer (A-1) is preferably a (meth)acrylate obtained by reacting polyhydric alcohol, *dipentaerythritol* with *caprolactone*. Mori et al. teaches in column 8, that reactive monomers (F) and reactive oligomers (G) and the curable resin (A) can be combined. Reactive oligomers (G) include polyester poly(meth)acrylates which is the reaction products of polyester polyol consisting of a polyhydric alcohol and a polybasic acid or an anhydrate thereof with (meth) acrylic acid. Mori et al. teaches in column 9, that the battery can comprise a cathode having metal sulfides as the active material and the anode can include alkali metals, carbon materials, etc.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 1, 5-6, 8-12, 14-17, 22-27, 30-34, as opposed to the product taught by Mori et al., such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; (Fed. Cir. 1985)*.

With respect to the product by process claims 1, 5-6, 8-12, 14-17, 22-27, 30-34, the determination of patentability is based upon the product itself not upon the method of its production. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Bridgeford 149 USPQ 55; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.* Any difference imparted by

Application/Control Number: 10/635,122

Art Unit: 1745

the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct. In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,122 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

February 28, 2007